

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,949	06/15/2001	Sami Kekki	796.400USW1	1928
32294 7	7590 12/03/2003	EXAMINER		INER
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			KNEPPER, DAVID D	
	14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2654	16
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/03/200	, -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/882,949	KEKKI ET AL			
navious nauen	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David D. Knepper	2654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · —	· ——			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on 30 October 2003 is	a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
	, ,	David D. Knepper Examiner Art Unit: 2654			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: "Speech coding" and "channel coding" are not different if the signal on the channel is speech. See, for example, the attached pages regarding "channel vocoders" from a well known treatise by James L. Flanagan showing that the term "channel" is much broader than characterized by the applicant. In fact, the applicant's arguments talk about error coding neither claimed nor disclosed by the applicant..